INTRODUCING...

The Canadian Index of Wellbeing


The Canadian Index of Wellbeing is intended to be a measure of the quality of life of all Canadians, says Michalos. “You could think of an Index of Wellbeing as a kind of index of people’s life chances—that is the probabilities of good or bad things happening to them as they go through their lives.”

Measuring wellbeing in 7 areas

Researchers working on the new Index are looking at seven specific areas or “domains” that affect the lives of everyone. The Living Standards domain, for example, will measure incomes and jobs; the gap between rich and poor; food and livelihood security; and affordable housing. The Healthy Populations domain will assess the health status and health outcomes of different groups of Canadians, as well as risk factors and conditions that affect health and disease. The Community Vitality domain will assess social cohesion, personal security and safety, and people’s sense of social and cultural belonging. Other domains will measure the quality of the environment, the educational attainment of the population, and the amount of free time that people can devote to social, family and cultural pursuits. Finally, the CIW will measure people’s civic engagement, and how responsive governing bodies are to citizens’ needs and views.

The CIW will release its first report in the fall, on three core areas of wellbeing that matter to Canadians: healthy populations, living standards and time use.

“The Canadian Index of Wellbeing is about the reality and complexity of life. When it’s mature, I can see it taking on an enormous importance to Canadians,” McMurtry says. “It would become part of the discourse of day-to-day life, if you will—the discussion around the water cooler.”

CIW will hold governments accountable

McMurtry adds that the CIW would give people the information they need to question politicians about the choices they make. It cites access to education as an example. It’s well known that the better education people get, the better off they are. The Index of Wellbeing would therefore rise as the quality and level of education increase. But it would decline if, for example, access to post-secondary education were threatened by any number of factors, including higher levels of student debt.

“Is it really a good idea for the long-term future of our country to under-emphasize education and choose tax cuts ahead of educating our young?” McMurtry asks. He says a rising or falling index of Wellbeing would give voters the specific information they need to hold politicians accountable. It would also be simple to track: one coherent, integrated framework that would become the new, core measure of Canada’s progress.

Of course, that answer makes no sense to the mice. Forty-two seems plucked out of thin air, a number with no relation to anything. With absurd, wry humour, Adams skewers our conflicting tendencies to ask enormous questions yet strive for simple, tidy answers. And he laughs at the conundrum we face when the answer bears little relation to the questions asked, or makes no sense when it comes to evaluating “life, the universe and everything.”

“There should be some place where we can all go to get a relatively reliable and valid assessment of the world that we’re living in,” says Alex Michalos, director of the Institute for Social Research and Evaluation at the University of Northern British Columbia. Michalos is working with a national working group of about 20 researchers on the new Canadian Index of Wellbeing (CIW). The group includes representatives from Statistics Canada and Environment Canada, and researchers from eight universities and six non-government research organizations across Canada (see CIW map on page 3). The work is also part of a broader international effort to measure the things that count: the CIW researchers have been working closely with their counterparts in New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Germany, the United States, and elsewhere.

An answer to everything?

The CIW won’t come up with the answer to everything, but its aim is still ambitious. And the answers it offers. It aims to assess whether Canadians are better off or worse off than they used to be—not just materially or based on how fast the economy is growing, but in terms of their overall wellbeing. By looking at what people have said about what makes a ‘good life’ going back to at least the 5th century BC, they will say things like: ‘Well, if you have health, if you have somebody who loves you, if you have financial security and you live in a friendly community and have decent housing, then you’re having a fairly good life,’” says Michalos.

“So the Canadian Index of Wellbeing is about that kind of common sense.”

According to Dr. Robert McMurtry, a physician in London, Ontario, who serves on the Health Council of Canada, the new Index gathers leading-edge indicator research from around the country into an integrated and comprehensive measure of wellbeing for Canada. Such a measure should guide politicians and policy-makers in making more informed choices.

McMurtry, who worked with Roy Romanow at the Commission on the Future of Health Care, says the CIW project is the next step in improving Canadians’ health and wellbeing. He adds that the project grew out of a meeting to discuss the implications of the Romanow Report in December 2002. At that meeting, he met Charles Pascal, Executive Director of the Atkinson Charitable Foundation.

Romanow, Atkinson, and the Wellbeing Index: a “natural fit”

“It occurred to me that there is a natural link between the work that the Atkinson Foundation is doing promoting new measures of progress and Mr. Romanow’s work on health care,” McMurtry recalls. “I knew that Mr. Romanow would be interested in it. Having worked with him, I realized that he was very concerned about the health care system and what it meant to Canadians, but he also had concerns about the wellbeing of Canadians that went beyond that. I thought what a perfect, natural fit it would be.”

McMurtry became co-chair of a committee working to further the development of the Canadian Index of Wellbeing. For its part, the Atkinson Foundation has committed over $1.5 million to date toward the initiative. Other key funders plan to become partners in what organizers describe as the “nation-building work” of the CIW.

“The Canadian Index of Wellbeing is about the reality and complexity of life. When it’s mature, I can see it taking on an enormous importance to Canadians,” McMurtry says. “It would become part of the discourse of day-to-day life, if you will—the discussion around the water cooler.”

The CIW engages a national working group of about 20 researchers on the new Index of Wellbeing.

The CIW researchers have been working closely with their counterparts in New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Germany, the United States, and elsewhere.

“Is it really a good idea for the long-term future of our country to under-emphasize education and choose tax cuts ahead of educating our young?” McMurtry asks. He says a rising or falling index of Wellbeing would give voters the specific information they need to hold politicians accountable. It would also be simple to track: one coherent, integrated framework that would become the new, core measure of Canada’s progress.
One of the key points I made in my report is that I am very honoured to be part of this monumental

As an avid reader of Reality Check, I know that the point has been well made, that we currently gauge our wellbeing and health as important to their values that Canadians regard as the money transactions counted by GDP. I believe we need new measuring tools to measure and track changes in the key factors that affect our wellbeing and the quality of our life. The Canadian Index of Wellbeing will provide Canadians with a new basket of indicators that reflect the values that Canadians regard as important to their quality of life. It will also point the way to long-term sustainable economic prosperity.

Q. How would such an index build on the work of your Royal Commission on the Future of Health Care?
A. Although the royal commission report noted the importance of population health work, we did not have a chapter dedicated to the social determinants of health—things like income, employment, early childhood education, social supports, housing, and the environment—that can be measured and tracked by the Canadian Index of Wellbeing. As I’ve noted, the report envisioned the national goal of making Canadians the healthiest people we can be. But, even if all of my 47 recommendations are adopted, it will only take us part way toward this goal. A health care system will only be one of the ingredients that determine whether one’s life will be long or short, healthy or not.

Q. Would it be fair to say that we need to move from an illness model of health care to a wellness model?
A. Yes. First of all, we need to remember that Medicare is the most popular government program ever mounted in Canada and according to recent polling, it still enjoys the overwhelming support of Canadians, who want to see Medicare maintained and reformed. But we need to focus on the other determinants as well. Historians and health experts tell us that we have had at least two great revolutions in the course of public health. The first was the control of infectious diseases, notwithstanding our current challenges, and the second was the battle against non-communicable diseases. The third great revolution is about diseases. The third great revolution is about

Q. To what extent is this a political question, one that politicians will need to address?
A. I think it’s a political question for all Canadians and we have to begin with a paradigm shift. It is really taking a holistic approach to our health as a capital resource and reinvesting in it every bit as much as we would in our knowledge industries or any other 21st century resource. Canada, and governments in particular, must see the importance of integrating social, health, economic, and environmental issues into an integrated approach. Governments of all stripes have to view the decisions they make through the prism of “will it invest in the wellbeing of our society—in our health and the overall quality of life—or will it diminish those things?” As a former premier, I know clearly the pressures to yield to short-term political gain. But I would have found it very helpful to have something like the CIW—independent and non-partisan information—to educate both my political colleagues and those who elect us, on how we are really progressing on the things that count.

Q. How specifically could an Index of Wellbeing help to improve Canadians’ lives?
A. A Canadian Index of Wellbeing will engage Canadians in a meaningful debate about what it means to have a world-leading quality of life and genuine sustainability. It will provide policy-shapers and decision-makers with information they need to measure the full benefits and costs of policy changes. From workplace water coolers to government policy rooms, it will put the accountability spotlight on the kind of progress that Canada truly needs. Most importantly, it will contribute to the ongoing process of nation building based on true Canadian values and concerns.

Q. It seems that you’re strongly committed to the whole concept of a Canadian Index of Wellbeing. How would you describe your commitment?
A. I am very honoured to be part of this monumental and ambitious project. For me, moving from an illness model to a wellbeing model is my kind of revolution. It is informed by a commitment to social inclusion and civil society that will provide opportunities for all Canadians to participate in the things that count in their neighbourhoods across this great country and an understanding that hopelessness kills and the national goal of making Canadians the healthiest people in the world. One poll shows, it still enjoys the overwhelming support of Canadians, who want to see Medicare maintained and reformed. But we need to focus on the other determinants as well. Historians and health experts tell us that we have had at least two great revolutions in the course of public health. The first was the control of infectious diseases, notwithstanding our current challenges, and the second was the battle against non-communicable diseases. The third great revolution is about disease. The third great revolution is about

Q. Why do we need a Canadian Index of Wellbeing?
A. One of the key points I made in my report is that we have to set a national goal of making Canadians the healthiest people in the world. One of the keys to achieving this goal is a greater emphasis on preventative health measures and improving population health outcomes. The main factors—the main “determinants” as the experts call them—that will likely shape our health and life span, are the ones that affect society as a whole. We have to connect all of the dots that will take us there. To connect the dots, we have to know where they are. We have to measure wellbeing. What we measure counts!

Since the release of the seminal Romanow Commission report on the future of health care in Canada two and a half years ago, Roy Romanow has been advocating for a new Canadian Index of Wellbeing that will report regularly on the things that count in ensuring health and prosperity for Canadians. In this interview, Mr. Romanow discusses the critical importance of key determinants of health such as income, early childhood education, housing, and the environment.

Since the release of the seminal Romanow Commission report on the future of health care in Canada two and a half years ago, Roy Romanow has been advocating for a new Canadian Index of Wellbeing that will report regularly on the things that count in
one of the architects of the modern, social-welfare state.

“If Atkinson were still alive,” says Charles Pascal, “he would have already invented the Canadian Index of Wellbeing. He would have invented it 20 or 30 years ago.” Pascal says such an Index fits with Atkinson’s commitment to "evidence-based story telling."

**Index “mother of all policy tools”**

“The whole idea of the Canadian Index of Wellbeing is to give us independent, fast-based feedback on a regular basis about how we’re really doing as a nation,” Pascal explains. “By pulling back the curtain on the things that really matter to Canadians we will have clear information about what’s happening. Are the air and water getting cleaner or dirtier? Is the gap between rich and poor getting narrower or wider? Having an independent reporting mechanism, especially one that communicates clearly, helps to hold governments accountable for what’s really going on in terms of the progress of our nation.”

Pascal calls the Canadian Index of Wellbeing "the mother of all policy tools,” and notes that board of the Atkinson Foundation is committed to the huge task of developing it, because it fits the Foundation mandate and J.E. Atkinson’s vision so perfectly.

---

**Supporting the National Network of Experts:**

A Cross-Canada Check-in

The following government agencies, non-governmental organizations and universities are working together to develop the Canadian Index of Wellbeing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Organization/Institute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>Institute for Social Research and Evaluation University of Northern British Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>Sustainable Calgary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>University of Saskatchewan Community Institute for Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>International Institute for Sustainable Development <a href="http://www.iisd.org/research">www.iisd.org/research</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>University of Ottawa Institute of Population Health <a href="http://www.ips.uottawa.ca">www.ips.uottawa.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atkinson Charitable Foundation <a href="http://www.atkinsonfoundation.ca">www.atkinsonfoundation.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>York University School of Health Policy and Management <a href="http://www.yps.yorku.ca/SHFP/">www.yps.yorku.ca/SHFP/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atlantic Health Promotion Research Centre <a href="http://www.ahprc.dal.ca">www.ahprc.dal.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nova Scotia Provincial Institute for Public Health <a href="http://www.nshealth.gov.ca">www.nshealth.gov.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Newfoundland and Labrador Public Health Research Centre <a href="http://www.phnl.gov.nl.ca">www.phnl.gov.nl.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quebec Partnership Under Negotiation <a href="http://www.statcan.ca/infobase/dhm2/english/default.cfm">www.statcan.ca/infobase/dhm2/english/default.cfm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canada Environment Canada <a href="http://www.ec.gc.ca/soer-ree/theme.cfm?theme=15">www.ec.gc.ca/soer-ree/theme.cfm?theme=15</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistics Canada <a href="http://www.statcan.ca">www.statcan.ca</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**JOSEPH E. ATKINSON, Crusader for a Fair Society**

**ATKINSON TRADITION LED TO INITIAL CIW FUNDING**

Joseph E. Atkinson, who ran the Toronto Star from 1899 until his death in 1948, was a principled man rarely afflicted by self-doubt. Once, a reporter showed up in his office complaining he’d just been fired by a temperamental news editor. Atkinson immediately phoned the editor, writes Ross Harkness in his book J.E. Atkinson of The Star. “Has this young man’s work been unsatisfactory?” Atkinson asked.

“He’s work is fairly good but he is insolent; in the interest of discipline I had to dismiss him,” the editor replied.

“Insolence and insubordination are fairly common in newspaper offices,” Atkinson remarked. “That is one of the crosses editors have.”

The reporter was rehired.

The story illustrates the value Joseph Atkinson placed on independent thinking. As one of Canada’s leading newspaper proprietors, he was fiercely independent.

**Economic justice is social justice**

“If J.E. Atkinson were alive today he would be deeply concerned, for example, about child poverty. He’d have three or four reporters and editorial writers staying with that issue like a dog with a bone until it was resolved,” says Charles Pascal, executive director of the Atkinson Charitable Foundation.

J.E. Atkinson knew child poverty well. His widowed mother took in boarders to support her eight children. When his mother died, the 14-year-old Atkinson left school to work in a textiles mill. The mill burned down a few weeks later, leaving young Joe unemployed. Only private charity kept him from going hungry.

All his life Atkinson supported charities such as the Star Fresh Air Fund—to help needy kids escape Toronto’s summer heat—and the Star Santa Claus Fund, to make sure no child missed out on Christmas. He also established the Atkinson Charitable Foundation in 1942, according to his mission statement, the Foundation’s task is “promoting social and economic justice”; but Charles Pascal says Atkinson himself would probably remove the word and “Take child care, for example. Is child care an economic policy or a social policy?” Pascal asks rhetorically. “Whether we’re talking about housing, income distribution, child poverty—you name it—Mr. Atkinson would describe these policies as both economic and social. And he would be educating his very large readership to that effect.”

---

**“If Atkinson were still alive, he would have already invented the Canadian Index of Wellbeing.”**

From the beginning, J.E. Atkinson used the Star to campaign for the establishment of social programs such as family allowances, unemployment insurance, old-age pensions and a national health plan. He called for minimum wages and the rights of labour unions. He used his political influence to persuade the federal Liberal Party to adopt such policies, making him widely regarded as a realist who would bring you updates as new partners join the CIW initiative.

---

McMurtry notes that the CIW will also report on which parts of the country are doing better or worse—not just in material terms, but in overall quality of life. Toronto might have higher average incomes than Charlottetown, for example—but it might also have higher levels of poverty and crime, and dirtier air.

Alex Michalos says it’s vital that Canadians themselves have a say in how the new Index is set up. “The Index is only going to work if Canadians hear their own voices in the product that we produce,” he says. “When you’re talking about a measure that claims to be a method of the good life, you really have to ask people what they think the good life is like.”

**Stats you can take to the bank**

Michalos is part of a working group that is planning public consultations as the Index is developed, to ensure that the new measures properly reflect the values of Canadians in an inclusive way. The consultations will make particular efforts to reach out to marginalized communities like Canada’s First Nations, who may not have a voice in their own health and wellbeing.

In addition, Michalos says it’s important that the Index produce information people can trust. “Our stance has to be like Statistics Canada in the sense that they produce reliable and valid numbers, and it doesn’t matter what political stripe you are or what axe you want to grind, there should be some place where we can all go to get a relatively reliable and valid assessment of the world that we’re living in.” It also helps that key Statistics Canada experts are involved in the project, he says.

Everyone involved with the CIW agrees that compiling the new Index is a monumental long-term initiative. It aims to transform how we measure progress and—using its suite of social, economic and environmental indicators—determine the wellbeing of Canadians.

“I could see it being something that would excite people,” he says. “It would make an impact on their lives because they are more educated, they have more insight as a result of the information the CIW provides. The final point I’d make about it is, when people are better informed, that impacts a sense of control as opposed to helplessness and then hope is not far behind. One of the most important things you can do for people is to ensure hope.”

In the fall of 2005, the Canadian Index of Wellbeing will be officially launched.

---

**REALITY CHECK / MAY 2005 / PAGE 3**
Learning How to Live Well—from New Zealand to Canada

Canadians have a lot to learn from New Zealand—a nation that takes wellbeing so seriously its 2002 Local Government Act requires all regions of the country to submit regular reports on their environmental, social, cultural and economic wellbeing. New Zealand is one of the world’s leaders in wellbeing reporting. Its Local Government Act is just one example of how the country has incorporated the reporting of wellbeing into its legislative and administrative infrastructure. In addition, it produces an annual Social Report on current wellbeing indicators; a sustainable development indicators report; and a report on quality of life in New Zealand’s cities.

Reality Check editor Ron Colman travelled to New Zealand in November 2004, to learn more about that nation’s wellbeing initiatives, and to introduce the proposed Canadian Index of Wellbeing (CIW). He met with representatives from the Treasury, the Ministries of Social Development and Environment, and Statistics New Zealand, among other government agencies. He also presented the CIW to Local Government New Zealand, the mayors and CEOs of New Zealand’s major cities, and to NGOs and academics involved in indicator work in that country.

The New Zealanders are particularly interested in the CIW intention to combine wellbeing and sustainability measures within a single reporting framework, says Colman, who adds they look forward to working with Canada on its indicator initiatives.

Definitions of wellbeing vary across cultures
New Zealanders are doing important new work assessing how specific life conditions have varying impacts on people’s satisfaction with life. They have found, for example, that health and physical safety have a far stronger impact than knowledge and skills on how satisfied people feel with life. Economic security is somewhere in between.

New Zealanders also give stronger emphasis to the cultural dimension of wellbeing than most other jurisdictions. As a result, they are now re-evaluating their entire reporting framework to assess whether wellbeing has different meanings for New Zealand’s Maori and Pacific peoples. Statistics New Zealand is developing a Maori Statistics framework that “should recognize the demographic, socio-economic and cultural diversity of Maori, and different realities that characterize Maori society.”

Here in Canada, the CIW is committed to producing a wellbeing index that is meaningful to all Canadians. Inspired by the New Zealand example, and in order to establish a genuinely representative index, CIW researchers are now undertaking an analysis of what wellbeing means to Canada’s First Nations communities. Before the CIW is launched in the fall, First Nations communities will also be part of an inclusive cross-Canada public engagement strategy.

Canada already has some commendable examples of Inuit wellbeing indicators. Work done in New Zealand’s major cities, and to NGOs and academics involved in indicator work in that country.
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